#### Case 4:09-cv-02180-SBA Document 99 Filed 05/14/10 Page 1 of 6

1 2	Frank E. Scherkenbach (SBN 142549), scherken Fish & Richardson P.C. 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110-2804	bach@fr.com
3 4	Telephone: (617) 542-5070 Facsimile: (617) 542-8906	
5	Christopher S. Marchese (SBN 170239), marchese@fr.com Seth M. Sproul (SBN 217711), sproul@fr.com	
6	Fish & Richardson P.C.	
7 8	San Diego, California 92130 Telephone: (858) 678-5070 Facsimile: (858) 678-5099	
9	Erin E. Kaiser (SBN 259926), kaiser@fr.com Fish & Richardson P.C. 500 Arguello Street, Suite 500	
10 11	Redwood City, California 94063 Telephone: (650) 839-5070 Facsimile: (650) 839-5071	
12	Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimants ViaSat, Inc. and Paradise Datacom, LLC	
13 <sub>14</sub>		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION	
16	APPLIED SIGNAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.	Case No. 09-CV-02180-SBA
17	Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER
18 19	EMERGING MARKETS COMMUNICATIONS, INC.; EMC	GRANTING VIASAT, INC. LEAVE TO FILE AMENDED PLEADING AND TO MODIFY CASE MANAGEMENT
20	SATCOM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; PARADISE DATACOM, LLC; and VIASAT, INC.,	CONFERENCE SCHEDULE AND ADOPTING NEW SCHEDULE
21 22	Defendants.	Hon. Saundra B. Armstrong
23	VIASAT, INC.; PARADISE DATACOM LLC,	
24 25	Counterclaimants, v.	
26	APPLIED SIGNAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.,	
27	Counter-Defendant.	
28	STIPULATED MOTION & [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING VIASAT, INC. LEAVE TO FILE AMENDED PLEADING & TO MODIFY CMC	

SCHEDULE & ADOPTING NEW SCHEDULE

ViaSat requests, and plaintiff Applied Signal Technology, Inc. ("AST") and defendant Paradise Datacom, LLC ("Paradise") (for purposes of this motion, collectively referred to as "the parties") do not oppose, that the Court grant ViaSat leave to file its First Amended Answer to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint and Counterclaims, as set forth in Exhibit A to this stipulation, by which ViaSat seeks to add (1) Comtech EF Data Corporation ("Comtech") as a third party defendant on ViaSat's existing patents-in-suit, and (2) a claim that Comtech and AST are infringing U.S. Patent No. 6,011,952 ("the '952 patent"). Proposed new party Comtech, which is represented by the same counsel as plaintiff AST, also hereby states that it does not oppose the amendment, including the addition of Comtech as a defendant on the two existing ViaSat patents-in-suit as well as the proposed additional ViaSat '952 patent. In addition, the parties hereby stipulate and move the Court for an order modifying the Case Management Scheduling Order to accommodate these amendments to the pleadings as shown in the chart below (with changes to the

current Case Management Schedule [Dkt. 92] indicated in italics and underlining):

<u>EVENT</u>	<u>DATE</u>
ViaSat's Infringement Contentions for '952 patent	May $7^2$
(Patent L.R. 3-1) and ViaSat's Document	
Production Accompanying Disclosure pursuant to	
Patent L. R. 3-2 due	
Comtech's Initial Disclosure	June 16, 2010
Comtech's Document Production (Patent L.R. 3-4)	July 9, 2010
re ViaSat's '093, '017 and <u>'952</u> patents, and <u>AST's</u>	
Document Production (Patent L.R. 3-4) re ViaSat's	
<u>'952 patent,</u> due	

<sup>1</sup> Defendants Emerging Markets Communications, Inc., and EMC Satcom Technologies, Inc. ("the EMC parties") are not affected by the modifications to the schedule shown below, and the EMC parties do not oppose the instant stipulation and motion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In accordance with this proposed modified schedule, on May 7, 2010, ViaSat served on all parties and on Comtech its infringement contentions for the '952 patent, pursuant to Patent LR 3-1, and accompanying document production, pursuant to Patent LR 3-2. ViaSat filed a notice of compliance with the Court on May 10, 2010. [Dkt. 96].

1	<u>EVENT</u>	<u>DATE</u>
2	Comtech's Invalidity Contentions (Patent L.R. 3-3) re ViaSat's '093, '017 and '952 patents, and AST's	July 9, 2010
3	Invalidity Contentions (Patent L.R. 3-3) re ViaSat's '952 patent, due	
4	EMC's Supplemental Invalidity Contentions	July 9, 2010
5	Comtech's Proposed Terms for Construction re	July 21, 2010
6	ViaSat's '093, '017 and <u>'952</u> patents (Patent L.R. 4-1) and <u>AST's and ViaSat's Proposed Terms for</u>	
7	Construction re ViaSat's '952 patent (Patent L.R.	
8	Preliminary Claim Constructions (Patent L.R. 4-2)	July 28, 2010
9	due	•
7	Joint Claim Construction (Patent L.R. 4-3) due	August 18, 2010
10	Claim Construction Expert reports (Patent L.R. 4-3) due	August 25, 2010
11	L/D for discovery relating to Claim Construction (Patent L.R. 4-4)	September 15, 2010
12	Opening Claim Construction Brief (in compliance with Patent L.R. 4-5(a))	October 1, 2010
13 14	Opposing Claim Construction Brief(s) (in compliance with Patent L.R. 4-5(b)) due	October 21, 2010
15	Reply Claim Construction Brief(s) (in compliance with Patent L.R. 4-5 (c)) due	November 4, 2010
16	Tutorial and Claim Construction Hearing (in compliance with Patent L.R. 4-6)	December 2, 2010 @ 9:00 a.m.**
17	Further Case Management Conference	December 2, 2010 @ 9:00 a.m. **
	· ·	

The modified schedule is requested to include additional events required upon the filing of ViaSat's proposed First Amended Answer to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint and Counterclaims. The requested modifications will not delay any existing events on the Case Management Schedule, including the hearing on claim construction. This request to amend the

23 24

18

19

20

21

22

25

26

27

28

Lead counsel for the EMC defendants currently has a trial scheduled that will conflict with the proposed December 2, 2010 Markman hearing date. The parties have agreed to select a different Markman hearing date with the cooperation of the Court if the conflict remains later in the year.

#### Case 4:09-cv-02180-SBA Document 99 Filed 05/14/10 Page 4 of 6

1	pleadings is timely because the deadline for amendment, as proposed by the parties in the Joint		
2	Cast Management Conference Statement, is not until August 27, 2010. [Dkt. 29]		
3	ViaSat previously filed a motion for leave to file the amended pleading [Dkt 93] and AST		
4	filed a response of nonopposition. [Dk	t 96]. This stipulation supersedes ViaSat's pending	
5	motions at Dkt. 93 and 94. Therefore, if the Court grants the present stipulation and proposed		
6	order, the motions at Dkt. 93 and 94 should be terminated. If the Court does not grant the present		
7	stipulation, ViaSat asks that the Court hear the motions at Dkt. 93 and 94.		
8	Dated: May 14, 2010	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.	
9		/s/ Christopher S. Marchese	
10		Christopher S. Marchese Attorneys for Defendants/Counterclaimants	
11		ViaSat, Inc. and Paradise Datacom, LLC	
12	Dated: May 14, 2010	SANDERS & PARKS P.C.	
13		/s/ Michelle Breit Michelle Breit (SBN 133143)	
14		Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant APPLIED SIGNAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.	
15	Dated: May 14, 2010	HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, PLC	
16	2	/s/ Rudolph Telscher	
17		Rudolph Telscher ( <i>Admitted Pro Hac Vice</i> ) Attorneys for Defendants	
18		EMERGING MARKETS COMMUNICATIONS, INC. and EMC SATCOM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	
19			
20	<u>DECLAR</u>	ATION OF CONSENT	
21	Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under penalty		
22	of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Michelle Breit		
23	and Rudolph Telscher.		
24	Dated: May 14, 2010	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.	
25		/s/ Christopher S. Marchese	
26		Christopher S. Marchese Attorneys for Defendants/Counterclaimants	
27		ViaSat, Inc. and Paradise Datacom, LLC	
28	2	STIPULATED MOTION & [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING VIASAT, INC. LEAVE TO FILE AMENDED PLEADING & TO MODIFY CMC SCHEDULE & ADOPTING NEW SCHEDULE	

Case No. 09-CV-02180-SBA

#### 

## 

# 

## 

#### 

## 

#### 

# 

## 

# 

### 

## 

#### 

## 

# 

# 

# 

# 

#### 

#### [PROPOSED] ORDER

#### PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that:

- (1) ViaSat may file its First Amended Answer to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint and Counterclaims, submitted as Exhibit A to this stipulation.
- (2) The April 26, 2010 "Order to Modify Case Management Conference Schedule and Adopting New Schedule" [Dkt. 92] is hereby vacated, and the following schedule is hereby adopted.

EVENT	DATE
ViaSat's Infringement Contentions for '952 patent (Patent L.R. 3-1) and ViaSat's Document Production Accompanying Disclosure pursuant to Patent L. R. 3-2 due	May 7
Comtech's Initial Disclosure	June 16, 2010
Comtech's Document Production (Patent L.R. 3-4) re ViaSat's '093, '017 and '952 patents, and AST's Document Production (Patent L.R. 3-4) re ViaSat's '952 patent, due	July 9, 2010
Comtech's Invalidity Contentions (Patent L.R. 3-3) re ViaSat's '093, '017 and '952 patents, and AST's Invalidity Contentions (Patent L.R. 3-3) re ViaSat's '952 patent, due	July 9, 2010
EMC's Supplemental Invalidity Contentions	July 9, 2010
Comtech's Proposed Terms for Construction re ViaSat's '093, '017 and '952 patents (Patent L.R. 4-1) and AST's and ViaSat's Proposed Terms for Construction re ViaSat's '952 patent (Patent L.R. 4-1), due	July 21, 2010
Preliminary Claim Constructions (Patent L.R. 4-2) due	July 28, 2010
Joint Claim Construction (Patent L.R. 4-3) due	August 18, 2010
Claim Construction Expert reports (Patent L.R. 4-3) due	August 25, 2010
L/D for discovery relating to Claim Construction (Patent L.R. 4-4)	September 15, 2010
Opening Claim Construction Brief (in compliance with Patent L.R. 4-5(a))	October 1, 2010
Opposing Claim Construction Brief(s) (in compliance with Patent L.R. 4-5(b)) due	October 21, 2010
Reply Claim Construction Brief(s) (in compliance	November 4, 2010

#### Case 4:09-cv-02180-SBA Document 99 Filed 05/14/10 Page 6 of 6

<u>EVENT</u>	DATE
with Patent L.R. 4-5 (c)) due	
Tutorial and Claim Construction Hearing (in	December 2, 2010 @ 9:00 a.m.**
compliance with Patent L.R. 4-6)	
Further Case Management Conference	December 2, 2010 @ 9:00 a.m.**

Dated: 5/13/10

By: Honorable Saundra B. Armstrong

United States District Judge

<sup>\*\*</sup> Lead counsel for the EMC defendants currently has a trial scheduled that will conflict with the proposed December 2, 2010 Markman hearing date. The parties have agreed to select a different Markman hearing date with the cooperation of the Court if the conflict remains later in the year.